 Assembly OKs Ban On Meeting To Plot Violence

By DANIEL C. CARSON

SAN FRANCISCO -- The Assembly on Wednesday overwhelmingly approved a bill to prohibit groups or individuals from meeting in order to plot violence in the state. The measure was introduced by Assemblyman Mike Waters, D-Anaheim, who said, "I'll support the bill but I'll be back on the floor in the future." Waters introduced the measure as a response to recent violence in the state, including the killing of 10 people in a shooting at a vigil in San Francisco on Aug. 4.

The bill, Assembly Bill 352, would prohibit the formation of any group or individual that is "organized for the purpose of committing acts of violence." It would also prohibit any meeting or gathering "for the purpose of committing acts of violence." The bill would take effect on Jan. 1.

The Assembly approved the bill by a vote of 73-0. Waters, the sponsor of the bill, said, "This is an important step in addressing the problem of violence in our state. It will help protect the public and ensure that our communities are safe." The bill now goes to the Senate for consideration.

First-Brown Wilson Debate: Both Score Points, Claim Victory

By BY REX DALTON

LOS ANGELES (TNS) -- In a case expected to set legal and medical precedent for some time, two San Diego County judges were yesterday ordered to stand trial in the case of Andrew Daiseo vs. the County of Los Angeles. The case involves a question of whether a woman named Mary Jane Daiseo had been properly cared for in a hospital, and whether she was entitled to compensation for injuries suffered as a result of her care.

Judge Donald W. Batchelder, 48, of the Superior Court of San Diego, ordered the case to be tried on Sept. 15, 1987. The case involves a question of whether a woman named Mary Jane Daiseo had been properly cared for in a hospital, and whether she was entitled to compensation for injuries suffered as a result of her care.

The case involves a question of whether a woman named Mary Jane Daiseo had been properly cared for in a hospital, and whether she was entitled to compensation for injuries suffered as a result of her care.

The case involves a question of whether a woman named Mary Jane Daiseo had been properly cared for in a hospital, and whether she was entitled to compensation for injuries suffered as a result of her care.

The case involves a question of whether a woman named Mary Jane Daiseo had been properly cared for in a hospital, and whether she was entitled to compensation for injuries suffered as a result of her care.